PRIMARY REACTIONS IN THE PHOTOLYSIS OF 1,1-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE

R. AUSUBEL and M. H. J. WIJNEN

Chemistry Department, Hunter College of the City University of New York, 695 Park Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10021 (U.S.A.)

(Received October 1, 1975)

Summary

The photolysis of $1,1-C_2H_2Cl_2$ has been investigated in the presence of I_2 . The results indicate that at $\lambda > 2000$ Å two excited states are produced. The lower excited state produces C_2H_2 and C_2HCl in a ratio of 0.3 to 1; the higher excited state produces C_2H_2Cl radicals. The results of this investigation are compared with data from the photolysis of *cis*and *trans*-1,2- $C_2H_2Cl_2$.

Introduction

Recently [1, 2] we have reported on the primary process in the photolysis of *cis*- and of *trans*-1,2-C₂H₂Cl₂. It was observed that at $\lambda > 2000$ Å two excited states were produced from each isomer. The higher excited state was associated primarily with the production of vibrationally excited C₂H₂Cl radicals. The lower excited state produced mainly C₂H₂ and C₂HCl via molecular elimination of Cl₂ and HCl respectively. It seemed of great interest to us to study in particular these elimination processes in 1,1-C₂H₂Cl₂ where, because of the configuration of this compound the elimination processes are limited to α , α -elimination of Cl₂ and α , β -elimination of HCl.

Experimental

The experimental technique was similar to the one used in studying the photolysis of *cis*- [1] and of *trans*-1,2-C₂H₂Cl₂ [2]. The u.v. absorption spectrum of $1,1-C_2H_2Cl_2$ has a maximum at about 1900 Å and tails off towards 2400 Å [3, 4]. We observed, however, minute absorption up to about 3000 Å. Most irradiations were carried out with the full arc of the 16 A 13 Hanovia medium pressure mercury arc. The effective incident light in these experiments covered for all practical purposes the wavelength range 2000 to 2400 Å. In some experiments Corning filters 9-54 (transmitting above 2200 Å) and 0-53 (transmitting above 2600 Å) were inserted between arc and cell.

Qualitative and quantitative determination of the reaction products was carried out by gas chromatography. A 6 ft. column packed with 25% (by weight) of silicon grease on Celite was used for analysis. The main reaction products obtained by photolyzing CH_2CCl_2 in the presence of I_2 were: CH_2CCII , C_2HCI and C_2H_2 . Small to trace amounts of CH_2CICCl_2I and of CCl_3CH_2I were also observed. Since the iodo compounds were not commercially available identification was carried out as follows. Under identical gas chromatographic conditions the retention time of the peak ascribed to $1,1-C_2H_2$ ClI was slightly shorter than the retention time of trans-1,2- C_2H_2CII produced by photolyzing cis- or trans-1,2- $C_2H_2CI_2$ in the presence of I₂ [1, 2]. The peaks attributed to CH_2CCII , CH_2CICCI_2I and to CH_2ICCl_3 were absent if 1, $1-C_2H_2Cl_2$ was photolyzed in the presence of HBr and in their place we observed C_2H_3Cl and CH_2ClCCl_3 . Further confirmation was obtained by the gas phase reaction of ICl with excess CH_2CCl_2 . In addition to CH_2ClCCl_3 two compounds were observed whose retention times coincided with the peaks attributed by us to CH_2ClCCl_2I and to CH_2ICCl_3 . The formation of these compounds is a direct result of the addition of Cl atoms to CH_2CCl_2 and subsequent reactions of the radicals thus produced with ICl and/or I_2 as given by reaction sequence:



In our photolysis experiments of $1,1-C_2H_2Cl_2$ in the presence of I_2 the amount of CH_2ClCCl_2I formed was about 10% of the amount of $1,1-C_2H_2CII$ produced. The production of CH_2ICCl_3 was too small to allow quantitative determination of this product. Although experiments were carried out at 18°, 28° and at 45°C no temperature effect could be observed. For this reason the discussion will be limited to the data obtained at 28 °C.

The 1,1-dichloroethylene was obtained from the Eastman Chemical Company. It was purified by repeated distillation to about 99.9% purity. The impurity remaining in the sample was *trans*-1,2-C₂H₂Cl₂. It should be pointed out that the purified compound had a tendency to polymerize spontaneously. This could be prevented by storing the compound at dry ice temperature. Time studies were performed to confirm that the product rates reported in this paper were independent of exposure time. Conversions were limited to less than 1% of the starting material.

Results and Discussion

The primary products obtained by photolyzing $1,1-C_2H_2C_2$ in the presence of I_2 are CH₂CCII, C₂HCl and C₂H₂. The formation of CH₂CCII is explained by C-Cl rupture and subsequent scavenging of the $CH_2=CCl$ radical by I_2 . It is interesting to point out that we observed CH₂CCII but not cis- and trans-CHClCHI. This proves that a structural isomerization involving H atom shift is absent in the CH₂CCl radical. Observations regarding the absence of an F atom shift in the Hg photosensitized photolysis of difluoroethylenes have previously been reported [5]. 1, 1-Dichloroethylene is a good free radical scavenger and it was, therefore, necessary to establish that I_2 was capable of scavenging all CH₂CCl radicals. The experiments with I_2 as scavenger were carried out by saturating the cell with I_2 vapor. By carrying out experiments at 18° and at 45 °C the I₂ vapor pressure in the cell was varied from 0.2 Torr at 18 °C to 1.1 Torr at 45 °C. The rate of CH₂CCII production was not altered by this change in I₂ pressure proving that all CH₂CCl radicals were scavenged by I_2 even at the lowest temperature. These data are completely analogous to previous observations that C_2H_3 radicals produced in the photolysis of C_2H_3Cl [6] and CHClCH radicals produced by photolysis of *cis*- and trans-CHClCHCl can be scavenged quantitatively by I₂.

A priori, the formation of C_2 HCl might be explained by either of the following reactions:

$$C_{2}H_{2}Cl_{2}^{*} \rightarrow C_{2}HCl + HCl$$

$$C_{2}H_{2}Cl_{2}^{*} \rightarrow C_{2}HCl + H + Cl$$
(A)
(B)

Energetically, step (A) requires approximately 15 kcal/mol and step (B) about 125 kcal/mol where this last value corresponds to a wavelength of ~ 2300 Å. The fact that C₂HCl is observed as a reaction product even at $\lambda > 2600$ Å (as will be pointed out later) establishes that at least in part C₂HCl is produced by reaction (A). Even at $\lambda > 2000$ Å step (B) is energetically speaking only barely possible. If step (B) would occur we would expect to observe C₂H₃Cl₂I produced by H atom addition to CH₂CCl₂ and subsequent scavenging of the C₂H₃Cl₂ radical by I₂. In the presence of C₂H₄ and I₂ we would expect to observe C₂H₅I. None of these products were observed. This is particularly important since the addition products of Cl atoms (produced by C-Cl rupture) are observed as CH₂ClCCl₂I and CH₂ICCl₃. In the presence of C₂H₄ the presence of Cl atoms in the system was observed in the form of C₂H₄ClI. We suggest, therefore, that C₂HCl is produced mainly, if not exclusively, by molecular



Fig. 1. Plot of R_{CH_2CCII}/R_{C_2HCI} as a function of initial CH_2CCl_2 pressure.

detachment of HCl. This conclusion is completely analogous to previous reports that the simultaneous production of H and Cl atoms from C_2H_3Cl [7] and from symmetrical dichloroethylenes [1, 2] does not occur at $\lambda > 2000$ Å.

Acetylene is a definite but minor product in the photolysis of 1, $1-C_2H_2Cl_2$. In experiments with the full light of the mercury arc (effective range 2000 to 2400 Å) C_2H_2 comprised less than 4% of the total primary process. It should, therefore, not be surprising that our data regarding acetylene are limited and subject to greater uncertainties. The ratio $R_{C_2HCl}/R_{C_2H_2}$ was determined as a function of initial 1, $1-C_2H_2Cl_2$ pressure. The pressure range extended from 3.6 to 60.0 Torr, the ratio $R_{C_2HCl}/R_{C_2H_2}$ remained constant at 3.5 ± 0.5 indicating that C_2H_2 and C_2HCl originate from the same excited state.

A different relationship exists between C_2HCl and the CH_2CCl radical. Figure 1 clearly showes that the ratio $R_{C_2H_2CII}/R_{C_2HCI}$ increases with increasing CH_2CCl_2 pressure. We also investigated the variation of the ratio $R_{C_2H_2Cll}/R_{C_2HCl}$ as a function of the energy of the incident light. For an initial \tilde{CH}_2CCl_2 pressure of about 50 Torr we obtained the following values for $R_{C_2H_2CII}/R_{C_2HCI}$: 5 at $\lambda > 2000$ Å; 3.5 at $\lambda > 2200$ Å; and 0.6 at $\lambda > 2600$ Å. Clearly two different excited states are involved in the formation of the reaction products. There is no doubt that the higher excited state should be associated primarily with the production of CH₂CClI since the removal of higher energy light drastically reduces the production of this compound. Chloroacetylene, then, must originate mainly from the lower excited state. The question remains as to whether either of these products is produced by both excited states. Data in the presence of up to 73 Torr of SF_6 as inert deactivator showed a marked decrease in C_2 HCl production without any apparent reduction in the rate of CH₂CCII formation. If chlorovinyl radicals were produced to any appreciable extent from the lower excited state then the addition of SF_6 should have produced also a reduction in the rate of CH₂CCII. Most of the C_2 HCl must be produced by the lower excited state. It cannot, however, be established whether all of the chloroacetylene comes from that excited

state. Earlier we have pointed out that the ratio $R_{C_2HCl}/R_{C_2H_2}$ was equal to about 3.5 and independent of initial CH_2CCl_2 pressure. If both excited states were to produce C_2HCl they both would have to produce C_2H_2 as well, and in the same ratio. This seems unlikely. It is probable that the acetylenes are produced only by the lower excited state. The following may now be proposed to explain the observations:

$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CCl}_{2} + h\nu \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CCl}_{2}^{**}$	(1)
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CCl}_{2} + h\nu \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CCl}_{2}^{*}$	(2)
$CH_2CCl_2^{**} \rightarrow CH_2CCl + Cl$	(3)
$CH_2CCl_2^{**} + M \rightarrow CH_2CCl_2 + M$	(4)
$CH_2CCl_2^* \rightarrow C_2HCl + HCl$	(5)
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CCl}_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{2} + \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$	(6)
$CH_2CCl_2^* + M \rightarrow CH_2CCl_2 + M$	(7)
$CH_2CCl + I_2 \rightarrow CH_2CClI + I$	(8)

In the above mechanism the lower excited state produces C_2H_2 and C_2HCl via molecular detachment of Cl_2 and HCl respectively. Identical reactions were observed in the photolysis of *cis*-[1] and of *trans*-1,2- $C_2H_2Cl_2$ [2]. The following equations may be derived from this mechanism:

$$(CH_{2}CCl_{2})/R_{CH_{2}CCH} = 1/\alpha + (k_{4}/\alpha k_{3})(CH_{2}CCl_{2})$$
(I)
(CH_{2}CCl_{2})/R_{C_{2}HCl} = (k_{5} + k_{6})/\beta k_{5} + (k_{7}/\beta k_{5})(CH_{2}CCl_{2}) (II)

In eqns. (I) and (II), α and β are proportionality factors between pressure and amount of light absorbed for the higher and lower excited states of CH₂CCl₂. The agreement between experimental data and eqns. (I) and (II) is excellent as may be seen in Fig. 2. From the plot of eqns. (I) and (II) we obtain: $k_4/k_3 \simeq 4.5 \times 10^{-18}$ and $k_7/(k_5 + k_6) \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{-18}$ (molecule/cm³)⁻¹. No other data are available in the literature for a direct comparison with the values of the rate constants obtained by us. However, as mentioned earlier, the ratio $R_{\rm CH_2CCII}/R_{\rm C_2HC1}$ varies with pressure. The following equation may be derived for this ratio from the proposed mechanism:

$$\frac{R_{\rm CH_2CCII}}{R_{\rm C_2HCI}} = \frac{\alpha k_3}{\beta k_5} \left\{ \frac{(k_5 + k_6) + k_7 (\rm CH_2CCl_2)}{k_3 + k_4 (\rm CH_2CCl_2)} \right\}$$
(III)

It is clear that this equation is quite complex and does not allow a simple plot. Nevertheless, two extreme cases can be considered. At zero pressure, the equation simplifies to:

$$R_{\rm CH_2CCII}/R_{\rm C_2HCI} = \alpha(k_5 + k_6)/\beta k_5$$

At high pressures, as $k_5 + k_6$ becomes negligible compared to $k_7(CH_2CCl_2)$ and k_3 compared to $k_4(CH_2CCl_2)$, the equation predicts that an asymptote



Fig. 2. Plots of CH_2Cl_2/R_{C_2HCl} ($^{\bigcirc}$) and of $CH_2CCl_2/R_{C_2H_2Cll}$ ($^{\triangle}$) as a function of initial CH_2CCl_2 pressure.

TABLE 1

Product distributions from the lower excited states of dichloroethylenes*

	<i>cis</i> -1,2- C ₂ H ₂ Cl ₂	trans-1,2- C ₂ H ₂ Cl ₂	$1,1$ -C $_2$ H $_2$ Cl $_2$
C_2H_2	3.1	2.1	0.3
$\bar{C_2HC}$	1	1	1
C_2H_2Cl	0.3	0.3	not obs.

*Relative to a production of C_2HCl equal to unity.

should be approached. At the asymptote:

 $R_{\text{CH}_2\text{CCH}}/R_{\text{C}_2\text{HC}} = \alpha k_3 k_7 /\beta k_4 k_5$

The plot of $R_{C_2H_2CII}/R_{C_2HCI}$ in Fig. 1 clearly shows that this ratio approaches a maximum with increasing dichloroethylene pressure. Thus we obtain from Fig. 1 $(k_5 + k_6)/(k_5) = 3.2$ and $(k_3k_7)/(k_4k_5) \simeq 5.5$ which yields $k_4(k_5 + k_6)/k_3k_7 \simeq 0.6$, a value in excellent agreement with the data obtained from eqns. (I) and (II).

Finally, it is interesting to compare the data from this investigation with those available for *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-C₂H₂Cl₂. In all three compounds, two different excited states were observed if photolysed at $\lambda > 2000$ Å. The higher excited state is the main source of chlorovinyl radicals in each of the dichloroethylenes. In Table 1 we show a comparison of the product yields from the lower excited state. All three compounds have in common that the production of C₂H₂Cl radicals is of minor importance — as a matter of fact this step seems to be absent with $1,1-C_2H_2Cl_2$. The important feature is that with the symmetrical dichloroethylenes the molecular detachment of Cl is the most important step while with $1,1-C_2H_2Cl$ the elimination of HCl predominates. This is even more noteworthy since in 1,1-C₂H₂Cl₂ only α , β -elimination of HCl can occur while the HCl eliminations from the 1,2-dichloroethylenes probably occur via α , β - and α , α -eliminations as indeed observed in the photolysis of CH₂CDCl [7]. Thus the relatively large acetylene yields in the photolysis of *cis*- and trans-C₂H₂Cl₂ must have been produced mainly from α , β -elimination of Cl_2 since our present investigation indicates that α , α -elimination of Cl_2 does not seem to be an important process in this type of compound. Finally, although of no direct relationship to the primary process, it is interesting to point out that we observed both CCl₂CH₂I and CH₂ClCCl₂I. No doubt, these products are formed by addition of Cl to the CCl_2 and to the CH_2 side of the CH_2CCl_2 molecule and through subsequent scavenging of the radicals, thus formed, by I_2 . The fact that we observed mainly CH_2ClCCl_2I and only trace amounts of CCl_3CH_2I indicates that Cl atoms add predominantly, but not exclusively, to the CH_2 side of the CH_2CCl_2 molecule.

References

- 1 R. Ausubel and M. H. J. Wijnen, Int. J. React. Kinet., 7 (1975) 739.
- 2 R. Ausubel and M. H. J. Wijnen, J. Photochem., 4 (1975) 241.
- 3 J. P. Teegan and A. D. Walsh, Trans. Faraday Soc., 47 (1951) 1.
- 4 M. J. Berry, J. Chem. Phys., 61 (1974) 3114.
- 5 O. P. Strausz, R. J. Norstrom, D. Salahub, R. K. Gossavi, H. E. Gunning and I. G. Csizmadia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92 (1970) 6395.
- 6 T. Fujimoto, A. M. Rennert and M. H. J. Wijnen, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 74 (1973) 243.
- 7 P. Ausloos, R. E. Rebbert and M. H. J. Wijnen, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand., 77A (1973) 243.